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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the structural system shown in Figure 1. The plate is characterized by the properties: $\rho_{1}$ (material density), $h_{1}$ (thickness), $E_{1}$ (Young's modulus) and $\mu_{1}$ (Poisson ratio) while the corresponding properties of the insert are: $\rho_{2}, h_{2}, E_{2}$ and $\mu_{2}$. The above characterization generates several types of situations, e.g., if $E_{1}=E_{2}, \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}$ and $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ but $h_{2}>h_{1}$ one has the case of an overstepped plate [1-4]; if all the properties are different one has the case of a non-homogeneity which may be caused by a manufacturing process and if $\rho_{2}=h_{2}=E_{2}=\mu_{2}=0$ a hole with free edges results [5-7]. The situations previously described are of interest in practically all fields of engineering: from naval and ocean engineering systems to structural elements used in aeronautical, civil and mechanical engineering.

Obtaining an exact solution is probably out of the question in view of the difficulty of satisfying the interface conditions in an exact fashion, as it has been pointed out by Warburton in an excellent discussion [2]. Hence, an approximate solution is proposed in the present paper whereby the displacement amplitude is expressed in terms of a double Fourier series which satisfies identically the boundary conditions at $x=0, a$ and $y=0$, $b$ and which constitutes the exact solution in the case of a solid, rectangular plate of uniform thickness. The Rayleigh-Ritz method is then applied to determine the fundamental frequency coefficient. This approach is, obviously, an extension of the methodology presented by the senior author and coworkers in reference [6]. Numerical results are presented only for the case of rectangular plates with holes but the methodology is proposed for the general structural case previously described and depicted in Figure 1. On the other hand, in several instances, frequency coefficients have been determined using a very accurate finite element code which utilizes the algorithm developed by Bogner et al. [8].

## 2. APPROXIMATE ANALYTICAL SOLUTION

The Rayleigh-Ritz method requires minimization of the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[W]=U_{\max }-T_{\max }, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (see Figure 1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{\max }=\text { maximum strain energy },  \tag{2a}\\
& T_{\max }=\text { maximum kinetic energy }, \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
D_{i}=E_{i} h_{i}^{3} / 12\left(1-\mu_{i}^{2}\right), \quad \text { where } i=1,2
$$

Expressing the displacement amplitude $W(x, y)$ in terms of a double Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \simeq W_{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} b_{n m} \sin (n \pi x / a) \sin (m \pi y / b) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting in equation (2a) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\max }= & \left(D_{1} / 2\right)\left[I_{1}+I_{2}+2 \mu_{1} I_{3}+2\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) I_{4}\right]-\left[\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right) / 2\right]\left[I_{1}^{\prime}+I_{2}^{\prime}\right] \\
& -\left[D_{1} \mu_{1}-D_{2} \mu_{2}\right] I_{3}^{\prime}-\left[D_{1}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right)-D_{2}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)\right] I_{4}^{\prime}, \tag{4a}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
I_{1} & =\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \\
I_{2} & =I_{1}^{\prime}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
I_{3} & \left.=\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \\
\partial x^{2} & I_{2}^{\prime}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} x, \\
I_{4} & =I_{0}^{\prime}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{y_{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
\partial x \partial y
\end{array}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \quad I_{4}^{\prime}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y . ~ \$
$$



Figure 1. Vibrating system under consideration: (a) General case: plate characterized by $\left(h_{1}, \rho_{1}, E_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ with an insert ( $h_{2}, \rho_{2}, E_{2}, \mu_{2}$ ). Particular situations are (b) overstepped plate and (c) plate with a rectangular hole of free edges.

Table 1
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of square plates with concentric square cutouts (Figure 2)

| $\mu$ | $a_{1} / a$ | Number of non-zero terms used |  |  |  | Finite Element Solution | References |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 4 | 9 | 16 |  | [5] | [7] |
| $0 \cdot 0$ | 0 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.63 | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 1$ | 19.940 | 19.935 | 19.922 | 19.902 | - | - | - |
|  | 1/6 | $20 \cdot 316$ | 20.282 | $20 \cdot 201$ | $20 \cdot 113$ | 19.929 | $19 \cdot 48$ | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 2$ | 20.589 | 20.525 | $20 \cdot 390$ | 20.271 | - |  | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 21.840 | 21.628 | 21.360 | 21.247 | - | - | - |
|  | 1/3 | 22.438 | $22 \cdot 170$ | 21-890 | 21.790 | $21 \cdot 657$ | $21 \cdot 45$ | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 4$ | 24.001 | 23.640 | $23 \cdot 367$ | 23.283 | - |  | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 5$ | $27 \cdot 660$ | $27 \cdot 197$ | $26 \cdot 950$ | $26 \cdot 869$ | - | 26.05 | - |
| $0 \cdot 30$ | 0 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.739 | 19.63 | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 880$ | 19.870 | 19.844 | 19.806 | $19 \cdot 463$ | - | - |
|  | 1/6 | $20 \cdot 145$ | 20.070 | 19.905 | 19.712 | $19 \cdot 205$ | - | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 2$ | 20.338 | $20 \cdot 193$ | 19.904 | 19.634 | $19 \cdot 147$ | - | $20 \cdot 3$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 3$ | 21.232 | 20.700 | 20.096 | 19.853 | 19.722 | - | $20 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 1/3 | 21.663 | 20.972 | $20 \cdot 349$ | $20 \cdot 156$ | 19.772 | - | - |
|  | $0 \cdot 4$ | 22.806 | 21.824 | 21-279 | $21 \cdot 152$ | 20.773 | - | $22 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $0 \cdot 5$ | 25.543 | 24.326 | 23.965 | 23.841 | 23.473 | $24 \cdot 75$ | - |

Similarly, substituting equation (3) in equation (2b) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\max }=\left(\omega^{2} / 2\right)\left[\rho_{1} h_{1} Z-\left(\rho_{1} h_{1}-\rho_{2} h_{2}\right) Z^{\prime}\right] \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z=\int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{a} W^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \quad Z^{\prime}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} W^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$



Figure 2. Vibrating simply supported plate of square shape with free, concentric; square cutout.

Table 2
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with concentric rectangular cutouts ( $\left.b / a=b_{1} / a_{1}\right)$; see Figure 3

| $a_{1} / a$ | $b / a$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $0 \cdot 9$ | $0 \cdot 8$ | $0 \cdot 7$ | $0 \cdot 6$ | $0 \cdot 5$ |
| $0 \cdot 00$ | 19.739 | 22.054 | 25.291 | $30 \cdot 012$ | $37 \cdot 285$ | $49 \cdot 348$ |
| $0 \cdot 05$ | 19.76 | 22.08 | $25 \cdot 32$ | 30.05 | 37.32 | $49 \cdot 38$ |
| $0 \cdot 10$ | $19 \cdot 80$ | $22 \cdot 12$ | $25 \cdot 36$ | 30.07 | 37.32 | $49 \cdot 32$ |
| $0 \cdot 15$ | 19.75 | 22.06 | $25 \cdot 26$ | 29.91 | 37.03 | 48.76 |
| $0 \cdot 20$ | 19.63 | 21.92 | 25.07 | 29.61 | 36.50 | 47.76 |
| $0 \cdot 25$ | 19.62 | 21.90 | 25.01 | $29 \cdot 45$ | $36 \cdot 13$ | 46.95 |
| $0 \cdot 30$ | 19.85 | $22 \cdot 14$ | $25 \cdot 25$ | $29 \cdot 65$ | $36 \cdot 22$ | 46.95 |
| $0 \cdot 35$ | $20 \cdot 35$ | 22.69 | 25.84 | $30 \cdot 27$ | 36.81 | 47.23 |
| $0 \cdot 40$ | $21 \cdot 15$ | $23 \cdot 57$ | $26 \cdot 81$ | 31.31 | 37.93 | 48.36 |
| $0 \cdot 45$ | 22.28 | 24.83 | 28.20 | 32.84 | $39 \cdot 60$ | $50 \cdot 16$ |
| $0 \cdot 50$ | 23.84 | $26 \cdot 55$ | $30 \cdot 11$ | 34.97 | 41.98 | $52 \cdot 80$ |

The Rayleigh-Ritz method requires minimization of the governing functional with respect to the $b_{n m}$ 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial J[W]}{\partial b_{n m}}=\frac{\partial U_{\max }}{\partial b_{n m}}-\frac{\partial T_{\max }}{\partial b_{n m}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, taking into account equation (4a) and (4b) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{D_{1}}{2}\left[\frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial b_{n m}}+\frac{\partial I_{2}}{\partial b_{n m}}+2 \mu \frac{\partial I_{3}}{\partial b_{n m}}+2\left(1-\mu_{1}\right) \frac{\partial I_{4}}{\partial b_{n m}}\right]-\frac{D_{1}-D_{2}}{2}\left[\frac{\partial I_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{n m}}+\frac{\partial I_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{n m}}\right] \\
& -\left[D_{1} \mu_{1}-D_{2} \mu_{2}\right] \frac{\partial I_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{n m}}-\left[D_{1}\left(1-\mu_{1}\right)-D_{2}\left(1-\mu_{2}\right)\right] \frac{\partial I_{4}^{\prime}}{\partial b_{n m}} \\
& -\frac{\omega^{2}}{2}\left[\rho_{1} h_{1} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b_{n m}}-\left(\rho_{1} h_{1}-\rho_{2} h_{2}\right) \frac{\partial Z^{\prime}}{\partial b_{n m}}\right]=0 \quad\binom{n=1,2, \ldots, N}{m=1,2, \ldots, M} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3. Vibrating rectangular plate with free, concentric rectangular cutout of the same aspect ratio $\left(b / a=b_{1} / a_{1}\right)$.

Table 3
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutouts when the center of the hole displaces along the x-axis (Figure 4)

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 87$ | $19 \cdot 86$ | $19 \cdot 80$ | $19 \cdot 74(19 \cdot 72)$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 19$ | $20 \cdot 09$ | $19 \cdot 04$ | $19 \cdot 75(19 \cdot 52)$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 70$ | $20 \cdot 33$ | $20 \cdot 10$ | $19 \cdot 70(19 \cdot 13)$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 82$ | $20 \cdot 61$ | $20 \cdot 28$ | $19 \cdot 81$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 32$ | $20 \cdot 48$ | $20 \cdot 48$ | $20 \cdot 48$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 25$ | $32 \cdot 24$ | $32 \cdot 16$ | $32 \cdot 08(32 \cdot 05)$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 62$ | $32 \cdot 50$ | $32 \cdot 33$ | $32 \cdot 10(31 \cdot 80)$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 11$ | $32 \cdot 71$ | $32 \cdot 49$ | $32 \cdot 07(31 \cdot 40)$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $34 \cdot 41$ | $33 \cdot 10$ | $32 \cdot 75$ | $32 \cdot 27$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $37 \cdot 81$ | $33 \cdot 25$ | $32 \cdot 25$ | $32 \cdot 25$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $49 \cdot 53$ | $49 \cdot 52$ | $49 \cdot 44$ | $49 \cdot 35$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 77$ | $49 \cdot 63$ | $49 \cdot 54$ | $49 \cdot 36$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 72$ | $49 \cdot 37$ | $49 \cdot 47$ | $49 \cdot 20$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 46$ | $49 \cdot 68$ | $49 \cdot 52$ | $49 \cdot 18$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $54 \cdot 09$ | $50 \cdot 11$ | $50 \cdot 11$ | $50 \cdot 11$ |

Note: values in parenthesis have been determined by means of the finite element method.

Expression (6) yields an $N \times M$ homogeneous, linear system of equations in the $b_{n m}$ 's. A secular determinant in the natural frequency coefficients $\Omega_{i}=\sqrt{\rho h_{1} / D_{1} \omega_{i} a^{2}}$ of the system results from the non-triviality condition.

The present study is concerned with the determination of the fundamental frequency coefficient, $\Omega_{1}$, in the case of plates with rectangular holes.

## 3. FINITE ELEMENT SOLUTION

The plate domain was subdivided into 144 rectangular elements, each element possessing 16 degrees of freedom [8]. It is important to point out that the element developed by Bogner et al. [8] has been proved to be extremely accurate when dealing with thin plates.


Figure 4. Mechanical system under analysis when the cutout is displaced along the $x$-axis. Positions of the cutout center: (a) $x_{0}=0$; (b) $x_{0}=a_{1} / 2$; (c) $x_{0}=a / 4$ and (d) $x_{0}=\left(a-a_{1}\right) / 2$.

Table 4
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutouts when the center of the hole is displaced along the y-axis (Figure 5)

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 87$ | $19 \cdot 86$ | $19 \cdot 80$ | $19 \cdot 74$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 19$ | $20 \cdot 09$ | $19 \cdot 04$ | $19 \cdot 75$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 70$ | $20 \cdot 33$ | $20 \cdot 10$ | $19 \cdot 70$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 82$ | $20 \cdot 61$ | $20 \cdot 28$ | $19 \cdot 81$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 32$ | $20 \cdot 48$ | $20 \cdot 48$ | $20 \cdot 48$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 25$ | $32 \cdot 24$ | $32 \cdot 16$ | $32 \cdot 08$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 62$ | $32 \cdot 42$ | $32 \cdot 31$ | $32 \cdot 06$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 11$ | $32 \cdot 47$ | $32 \cdot 30$ | $31 \cdot 64$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $34 \cdot 41$ | $32 \cdot 54$ | $32 \cdot 05$ | $31 \cdot 13$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $37 \cdot 81$ | $31 \cdot 48$ | $31 \cdot 48$ | $31 \cdot 48$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $49 \cdot 53$ | $49 \cdot 52$ | $49 \cdot 43$ | $49 \cdot 35(49 \cdot 21)$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 77$ | $49 \cdot 43$ | $49 \cdot 47$ | $49 \cdot 27(47 \cdot 70)$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 72$ | $48 \cdot 78$ | $48 \cdot 91$ | $48 \cdot 04(44 \cdot 26)$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 46$ | $48 \cdot 06$ | $47 \cdot 43$ | $45 \cdot 88(41 \cdot 13)$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $54 \cdot 09$ | $44 \cdot 94$ | $44 \cdot 94$ | $44 \cdot 94(40 \cdot 25)$ |

Note: values in paranthesis have been determine by means of the finite element method.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

All calculations have been performed for a simply supported rectangular plate of uniform thickness taking $\mu_{1}=\mu=0 \cdot 30$; exception is made of a set of results presented in Table 1 where $\mu=0$.

Using the Fourier series approach a $16 \times 16$ secular determinant was posed for all the situations. Obviously this means that when the mechanical configuration possesses 2 axes of symmetry, 49 terms of the series were employed but only those terms with odd subscripts contributed. Table 1 illustrates the convergence of the approach as the number of terms in the Fourier approximation is increased in the case of a square plate with a concentric


Figure 5. Mechanical system under analysis when the cutout is displaced along the $y$-axis. Positions of the cutout center: (a) $y_{0}=0$; (b) $y_{0}=b_{1} / 2$; (c) $y_{0}=b / 4$ and (d) $y_{0}=\left(b-b_{1}\right) / 2$.

Table 5
Values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates with rectangular cutouts when the center of the hole is displaced along a diagonal of the rectangle (Figure 6)

| $b / a$ | $a_{1} / a$ | $(\mathrm{a})$ | $(\mathrm{b})$ | $(\mathrm{c})$ | $(\mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 1$ | $19 \cdot 87$ | $19 \cdot 85$ | $19 \cdot 73$ | $19 \cdot 59$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 2$ | $20 \cdot 19$ | $19 \cdot 99$ | $19 \cdot 69$ | $19 \cdot 22$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 3$ | $20 \cdot 70$ | $19 \cdot 99$ | $19 \cdot 57$ | $18 \cdot 71$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 4$ | $21 \cdot 82$ | $19 \cdot 79$ | $19 \cdot 28$ | $18 \cdot 21$ |
| 1 | $0 \cdot 5$ | $24 \cdot 32$ | $18 \cdot 25$ | $18 \cdot 25$ | $18 \cdot 25$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $32 \cdot 25$ | $32 \cdot 23$ | $32 \cdot 07$ | $31 \cdot 87$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $32 \cdot 62$ | $32 \cdot 29$ | $31 \cdot 99$ | $31 \cdot 35$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $33 \cdot 11$ | $32 \cdot 13$ | $31 \cdot 73$ | $30 \cdot 53$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $34 \cdot 41$ | $31 \cdot 78$ | $31 \cdot 14$ | $29 \cdot 49$ |
| $2 / 3$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $37 \cdot 81$ | $29 \cdot 20$ | $29 \cdot 20$ | $29 \cdot 20$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 1$ | $49 \cdot 53$ | $49 \cdot 51$ | $49 \cdot 33$ | $49 \cdot 12$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 2$ | $49 \cdot 77$ | $49 \cdot 27$ | $49 \cdot 18$ | $48 \cdot 50$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 3$ | $49 \cdot 72$ | $48 \cdot 56$ | $48 \cdot 63$ | $47 \cdot 22$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 4$ | $50 \cdot 46$ | $49 \cdot 90$ | $47 \cdot 37$ | $44 \cdot 80$ |
| $1 / 2$ | $0 \cdot 5$ | $54 \cdot 09$ | $43 \cdot 49$ | $43 \cdot 41$ | $43 \cdot 41$ |

square cutout. The results are compared with the finite element determinations performed in the present study and values available in the open literature for $\mu=0$ and $\mu=0 \cdot 30$.

The agreement between the analytical approach and the finite element results is excellent for all the situations considered (the maximum differences are of the order of $2 \%$ ). The values obtained by Paramasivam [5] are considerably lower; exception is made for the case $a_{1} / a=0.5$ for $\mu=0.30$ where the eigenvalue determined in references [5] is considerably higher than the values determined in this study. The values determined in reference [7] are


Figure 6. Mechanical system under analysis when the cutout is displaced along the diagonal. Positions of the cutout center: (a) $x_{0}=y_{0}=0$; (b) $x_{0}=a_{1} / 2, \quad y_{0}=b_{1} / 2$; (c) $x_{0}=a / 4, \quad y_{0}=b / 4$ and (d) $x_{0}=\left(a-a_{1}\right) / 2$, $y_{0}=\left(b-b_{1}\right) / 2$.
always upper bounds (in general they are rather high upper bounds $\dagger$ but a single term polynomial approximation was used in that study).

Table 2 depicts fundamental frequency coefficients in the case of rectangular plates aspect ratio $\ddagger$ as the center of the cutout displaces along the $x$-axis and for four locations: $x=0 ; x=a_{1} / 2, x=a / 4$ and $x=\left(a-a_{1}\right) / 2$. Some values have also been obtained by means of the finite element method (the maximum differences are of the order of $2 \%$ ).

Table 4 depicts values of $\Omega_{1}$ in the case of rectangular plates as the center of the cutout is displaced along the $y$-axis and again for four locations $\left(y=0, y=b_{1} / 2, y=b / 4\right.$ and $\left.y=\left(b-b_{1}\right) / 2\right)$. As expected, the differences between the analytical results and the finite element determinations are now considerably higher: a maximum difference of the order of $10 \%$ is observed.

Table 5 presents results of $\Omega_{1}$ when the hole center is displaced along a diagonal of the plate (see Figure 6) for the following positions: $x=y=0, x=a_{1} / 2$ and $y=b_{1} / 2, x=a / 4$ and $y=b / 4$ and, finally, $x=\left(a-a_{1}\right) / 2$ and $y=\left(b-b_{1}\right) / 2$.

It is interesting to point out that in the case of a square plate with a square cutout (Table 1) the dynamic stiffening effect is quite apparent for $a_{1} / a>0 \cdot 3$ for $\mu=0 \cdot 30$. In other words, the fundamental frequency coefficient increases with respect to the value corresponding to a solid plate.

In the case of rectangular plates with concentric cutouts of the same aspect ratio (Table 2), the dynamic stiffening effect can also be observed. If one considers that they are upper bounds with respect to the exact eigenvalues, it seems reasonable to assume that the dynamic stiffening effect becomes noticeable for $a_{1} / a>0.45$ for the configurations under study.

Apparently no dynamic stiffening phenomenon takes place in the cases reported in Tables 3-5. On the other hand, for these configurations, the fundamental frequency coefficient attains a maximum value when the cutout is concentric with the plate outer boundary. It is interesting to notice the fact that for $a_{1} / a=0.5$ the parameter $\Omega_{1}$ remains practically constant for each value of $b / a$, for the positions (b), (c) and (d), when using the double Fourier series approach. As expected, the analytical approximation yields extremely high values of frequency parameters when the cutout is very large and "acts" in a highly antisymmetric fashion, e.g., $a_{1} / a=0.50$ for position (d) in the case of Figure 5.
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